



Increasing Student and Community Engagement through an Experiential Learning Laboratory Experience: The Think OINK! Project



Dr. Jay Solomonson
Illinois State University

Mr. Riley Hintzsche
Streator High School

INTRODUCTION

- The average American is at least three generations removed from the family farm (Perry, 2018).
- It is often the responsibility of the local agriculture teacher to provide authentic, experiential learning experiences to help bridge this knowledge gap for those in their communities.
- High quality laboratory instruction has often been considered a keystone in successful SBAE programs to provide opportunities to apply technical area concepts taught in the classroom (Phipps et al., 2008).
- SBAE teachers are searching for unique ways to repurpose their current facilities to become multi-faceted learning laboratories in hopes of providing enriching, hands-on experiences for their students in technical agriculture areas.



HOW IT WORKS

- Provides students an opportunity to gain exposure and understand animal production practices through a hands-on initiative.
- Students artificially inseminate a sow, track her progress through gestation, and assist in the delivery in the school greenhouse.
- Labor process is livestreamed for international interaction and engagement.
- Students process piglets through the removal of needle teeth, provide vaccinations, and castration. Students also learn about welfare, nutrition, and care.
- Students may also purchase piglets for SAE Projects.



RESULTS

- 500+ students have engaged in the Think OINK project from 2017-2021.
- 2018's delivery livestream had 45,000 views, 91 shared posts, 802 comments, 30,647 engagements, and reached over 234,002 people with people engaging from 6 countries.
- Four other state ag programs implemented their own Think OINK project in their district in 2020.

FUTURE PLANS & ADVICE TO OTHERS

- Analyze performance data prior to selecting semen & utilizing the carcasses as part of their meats unit.
- Make sure have proper ventilation & foot wear for students.



COSTS

- Seek industry sponsors, local donors, and grants to secure funds.
- Capital resources included a farrow crate, sow, video camera, computer, and feeding equipment (\$2650).
- Non-capital resources included pig semen, AI equipment, feed, vet supplies, and bedding (\$675).

REFERENCES

- Perry, S. (2018, June 20). Bridging the farmer-consumer gap. Retrieved July 16, 2020, from <https://www.fb.org/viewpoints/bridging-the-farmer-consumer-gap>
- Phipps, L. J., Osborne, E. W., Dyer, J. E., & Ball, A. (2008). Handbook on agricultural education in public schools (6th ed.). Clifton Park, NY: Thomson Delmar Learning.
- Shoulders, C. W., & Myers, B. E. (2012). Teachers' use of agricultural laboratories in secondary agricultural education. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 53(2), 124-138. <https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2012.02124>
- Twenter, J. P., & Edwards, M. C. (2017). Facilities in school-based, agricultural education (SBAE): A historical inquiry. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 58(3), 275-292. <https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2017.03275>