Navigating Barriers to Fostering Learner-Centered Environments for Doctoral Students in Agricultural Research

Spanditha Muppidi
Purdue University
muppidis@purdue.edu

Kaley Mumma
Purdue University
mumma@purdue.edu

Introduction & Need for Strategy

Doctoral students in agricultural universities frequently encounter various constraints that impede their research productivity and overall academic satisfaction. These barriers, spanning institutional (Borders et al., 2020; Grant, 2010), logistical (Cornwall et al., 2018), and personal dimensions (Hwang et al., 2015: Maher et al., 2004), are crucial to understand for enhancing doctoral education outcomes. The research team recognizes that these constraints directly impact the student's ability to engage in self-directed learning (Hains & Smith, 2012) and integrate knowledge effectively. Addressing these barriers is essential to cultivate a more effective and supportive learning environment for future agricultural researchers.

Connection to Literature

Research pertaining to doctoral students and their experiences spans from studies exploring doctoral students' relationships with advisors/mentors (Grant, 2010); the function of their personal or social lives (Hwang et al., 2015); the impact of funding (Cornwall et al., 2018); the influence of department or institutional structure (Borders et al., 2020); and internal factors such as motivation, academic skills, and identity (Maher et al., 2004). By applying a learner-centered teaching (LCT) lens, this research also draws upon literature emphasizing how student agency (Klemenčič, 2020), active learning (Keengwe et al., 2009), and integrated learning experiences (Dole et al., 2016) contribute to deeper understanding and improved student outcomes.

How it Works & Implementation of Strategy

An empirical study on student constraints informs strategies for fostering learner-centered environments in agricultural doctoral programs. The research team identified core constraints of doctoral level students and then translated these constraints into LCT opportunities. Data was collected from 50 doctoral students at Kerala Agricultural University, categorizing constraints into resource availability, institutional support, time, publishing challenges, and research environment. A total of 14 constraints were asked based on the literature in each category of crop production, crop protection, crop improvement, social science and other disciplines research. Students provided rankings for each constraint and rank order was determined using percentages. This categorization helps faculty in systematically identifying specific barriers in their own program's impacting students. The translation of constraints into LCT opportunities requires creative and collaborative mindsets. Through review of others' successes and utilizing researcher perspectives, discussion is generated at how the constraints of doctoral students at Kerala Agricultural University can provide insights for both graduate students and faculty elsewhere.

Results to Date

The empirical findings from our study provide crucial insights into the real-world impediments to learner-centered doctoral education in agricultural research. Top constraints identified by students included difficulty in attaining research materials (70%), difficulties in accessing raw materials (66%), and difficulty in ascertaining funding (64%). These institutional and resource limitations can impede the implementation of approaches like experiential learning and project-based inquiry for doctoral students. Through identifying the constraints of doctoral students, the frame of learner-centered teaching is applied to brainstorm strategies to overcome them.

Translating current constraints for doctoral students into LCT opportunities requires creative approaches that emphasize the importance of collaboration. Of the three top constraints identified by students (i.e. difficulty in attaining research materials, access to raw materials, and ascertaining funding), four learner-centered strategies have been identified:

Approach	Description	Learner-Centered Connection
Fostering Resourceful & Adaptive Research Design	Curriculum modules or mentorship approaches that teach students to design research projects with flexibility and adaptability to resource limitations (i.e. using existing datasets, secondary research, or repurposing available materials).	Empowers students with problem-solving skills and adaptability, shifting the focus from perfect resource availability to innovative execution.
Developing Grant-Writing & Funding Literacy	Workshops, peer-review sessions, mentorship on grant writing, proposal development, and identifying diverse funding opportunities (e.g., small grants, institutional awards, industry partnerships, crowd-funding).	Builds practical and transferable skills for academic and professional success, encourages student agency in securing resources, and provides direct support for common issues in research.
Promoting Collaborative & Shared Resource Networks	Establish or strengthen formal and informal inter-institutional collaborations, departmental resource-sharing platforms like laboratories, and peer networks.	Cultivates collaboration skills and fosters a supportive community where students learn to leverage collective resources and expertise.
Implementing Modular & Phased Research Projects	Design doctoral research projects in smaller phases, where each phase can be completed with attainable resources and funding. This can allow students to demonstrate progress and secure incremental funding for next phases.	Reduces the overwhelming nature of resource requirements, provides more frequent opportunities for feedback, and allows students to refine their research direction iteratively.

Advice to Others

By linking empirical insights from doctoral student surveys to learner-centered teaching, a research team informed strategies to overcome constraints. The study emphasizes the need for institutional reforms to address these challenges and foster effective, learner-centered doctoral education in agricultural disciplines (Browne-Ferrigno & Muth, 2012; Shin, 2017; Witte et al., 2021). For agricultural educators, the research provides practical advice for implementing these strategies, with a focus on improving research productivity and contributing to agricultural innovation and education as broader outcomes. The ultimate goal is to create environments where students can take ownership of their learning and develop deeper understanding through active engagement, thereby moving the agricultural discipline forward (Browne-Ferrigno & Muth, 2012; Witte et al., 2021).

References

Borders, L. D., Wester, K. L., & Driscoll, K. H. (2020). Researcher development of doctoral students: Supports and barriers across time. *Counselor Education and Supervision*, *59*(4), 297–315. https://doi.org/10.1002/ceas.12190

Browne-Ferrigno, T., & Muth, R. (2012**).** *Use of learner-centered instructional strategies in higher education: Doctoral student assessments*. International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 6(2), 23. https://doi.org/10.20429/ijsotl.2012.060223

Cornwall, J., Mayland, E. C., van der Meer, J., Spronken-Smith, R. A., Tustin, C., & Blyth, P.(2018). Stressors in early-stage doctoral students*. *Studies in Continuing Education*, *41*(3), 363–380. https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037X.2018.1534821

Dole, S., Bloom, L., & Kowalske, K. (2016). Transforming pedagogy: Changing perspectives from teacher-centered to learner-centered. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, *10*(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1538

Grant, B. M. (2010). The limits of 'teaching and learning': indigenous students and doctoral supervision. *Teaching in Higher Education*, *15*(5), 505–517. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2010.491903

Hains, B. J., & Smith, B. (2012). Student-Centered Course Design: Empowering Students to Become Self-Directed Learners. *Journal of Experiential Education*, *35*(2), 357-374. https://doi.org/10.1177/105382591203500206

Hwang, E., Smith, R. N., Byers, V. T., Dickerson, S., McAlister-Shields, L., Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Benge, C. (2015). Doctoral students' perceived barriers that slow the progress toward completing a doctoral dissertation: A mixed analysis. *Journal of Educational Issues*, *1*(1), 164. https://doi.org/10.5296/jei.v1i1.7703

Keengwe, J., Onchwari, G., & Onchwari, J. (2009). Technology and student learning: Towards a learner-centered teaching model. *AACE Review (Formerly AACE Journal)*, *17*(1), 11-22.

Klemenčič, M. (2020). Students as actors and agents in student-centered higher education. In *The Routledge international handbook of student-centered learning and teaching in higher education* (pp. 92-108). Routledge.

Maher, M.A., Ford, M.E., & Thompson, C.M. (2004). Degree Progress of Women Doctoral Students: Factors that Constrain, Facilitate, and Differentiate. *The Review of Higher Education 27*(3), 385-408. https://dx.doi.org/10.1353/rhe.2004.0003

Shin, J. C. (2017). *Reforming doctoral education for the knowledge society: A competency development perspective*. Higher Education Forum, 16, 27-42. Retrieved from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1308100.pdf

Witte, K., Conner, N. W., Reiling, B. A., Balschweid, M. A., & Stripling, C. T. (2021). *Barriers to becoming CASE certified as seen by agriculture educators*. Journal of Human Sciences and Extension, 9(3), 165–177. https://doi.org/10.54718/MOPM7290