



Shifts in STEM Perceptions Based on an Immersive STEM Experience



Fakunle, O. B., Eck, C. J., Vanhauwaert, L., Mattison, A., & Gustafson, J.

Introduction

- STEM is often defined as the integration of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics into a cross-disciplinary subject within school curricula (Dugger, 2010).
- STEM education provides students with opportunities to understand the interconnected nature of the world, rather than acquiring isolated fragments of knowledge and practices (Dugger, 2010).
- Agricultural careers demand increasingly sophisticated levels of STEM comprehension and application (Stubbs & Myers, 2016).
- Previous research has shown that agricultural education provides an authentic platform for integrating and applying STEM concepts (Smith et al., 2015).

Purpose & Research Objective

The purpose of this study and overarching research objective was to determine the impact of the Agricultural Microbiome Program on students' perception of STEM.

Theoretical Framework

This study was guided by Social Cognitive Theory (SCT; Bandura, 1986). SCT focuses on the impact of experiences shaping beliefs, attitudes, and perceptions through the ongoing interplay of personal, environmental, and behavioral factors (Bandura, 1986).

Methods

- This study employed a one-group quasi-experimental design (Privitera, 2020) to examine changes in participants' STEM perceptions before and after a week immersive camp and after their public presentation.
- Descriptive statistics (i.e., mean and standard deviation) were used to summarize the data.
- Repeated measures ANOVA was used to test whether there were significant differences in mean perception scores across the three time points (pretest, posttest, and post-presentation).
- Data was analyzed using SPSS version 29.

Findings

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics and the ANOVA results, which indicated a statistically significant difference over time for science, technology, engineering, and mathematics perceptions, while perceptions of STEM careers did not significantly differ across time points. Partial eta squared values indicate moderate to large effect sizes for changes in science, technology, and engineering perceptions.

Table 1
Participants' Mean Scores

Construct	Pre-Camp		Post-Camp		Post-Presentation		f	p	η ²
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD			
Science	3.72	0.52	3.82	0.51	6.09	0.98	62.37	< .01**	0.72
Technology	3.92	0.31	3.74	0.40	4.36	0.98	46.05	< .01**	0.65
Engineering	3.70	0.38	4.00	0.75	5.40	1.10	22.69	< .01**	0.48
Math	3.92	0.95	4.13	0.76	5.00	1.49	4.77	< .05*	0.16
STEM Careers	5.63	1.21	5.73	1.30	5.78	1.27	0.33	> .05	0.13

Conclusions and Recommendations

- Overall, participants' experiences in the STEM camp boosted their perceptions of science, technology, engineering, and math, but their views on STEM careers stayed largely unchanged, suggesting that ongoing, career-focused activities are needed to make long-term career changes.
- Post-hoc analysis resulted in the greatest mean scores at the post-presentation point for science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, suggesting that continued engagement or reflection after the camp further reinforced positive perceptions, rather than leveling off immediately after the camp.
- Future studies should include a comparison or control group to strengthen causal inferences, and longitudinal research should be done to examine whether perception changes persist beyond the immediate post-presentation phase.

References

Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall.

Dugger, W. E. (2010, January). Evolution of STEM in the United States. In *Knowledge in Technology Education: Proceedings of the 6th Biennial International Conference on Technology Education Research (TERC 2010)* (Vol. 1, pp. 117–123). Griffith Institute for Educational Research. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-11-10-3>

Smith, K. L., Rayfield, J., & McKim, B. R. (2015). Effective practices in STEM integration: Describing teacher perceptions and instructional method use. *Journal of Agricultural Education, 56*(4), 182–201. <https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2015.04183>

Stubbs, E. A., & Myers, B. E. (2016). Part of what we do: Teacher perceptions of STEM integration. *Journal of Agricultural Education, 57*(3), 87–100. <https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2016.03087>

Privitera, G. J. (2020). *Research methods for the behavioral sciences* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.